

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/550,902	Applicant(s) OHYA ET AL.	
	Examiner PATRICIA L. HAILEY	Art Unit 1793	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Amended

(1) PATRICIA L. HAILEY.

(3) _____.

(2) T. DANIEL CHRISTENBURY.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 August 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

26 and 31

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Claim 31 was amended via Examiner's Amendment to change its dependency, thus making the claim no longer a duplicate of claim 26.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/PATRICIA L. HAILEY/
Examiner, Art Unit 1793

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)